

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,571	07/02/2001	Hiroichi Ishikawa	450100-03330	9687
20999 7	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			THOMPSON, TIMOTHY J	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 10141,			2873	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	09/897,571	ISHIKAWA, HIROICHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Timothy J Thompson	2873		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 26 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.5.7 and 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3.6 and 8-22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	•		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/2004. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen(U.S. Pat. No. 5,825,528).

Regarding claim 1, 5, Goossen discloses a substrate(fig 4, 10), a gap portion having a changeable size capable of causing an optical interference phenomenon(fig 4, 20); and a second layer. Goossen does not disclose a light-absorbing first layer although he does disclose that the substrate is light absorbing(col 3, lines 54-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a light-absorbing first layer to the substrate, since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of an opaque substrate and a substrate with a light absorbing layer placed over it for their use in the optical modulators and the selection of any of these known equivalents to absorb light would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 2, Goossen discloses the first layer(equivalent to the substrate), the gap portion, and the second layer are stacked in accordance with this order on the substrate(fig 1).

Regarding claim 4, Goossen discloses the second layer is transparent(fig 4, 16).

Application/Control Number: 09/897,571 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 7, 25, Goossen discloses a substrate(fig 4, 10), a gap portion having a changeable size capable of causing an optical interference phenomenon(fig 4, 20); and a second layer. Goossen does not disclose a light-absorbing first layer although he does disclose that the substrate is light absorbing(col 3, lines 54-57); driving means for changing an optical size of the gap portion(col 3, lines 35-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a light-absorbing first layer to the substrate, since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of an opaque substrate and a substrate with a light absorbing layer placed over it for their use in the optical modulators and the selection of any of these known equivalents to absorb light would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,580,481).

Regarding claim 23, Ueda et al. discloses; a substrate(fig 23, 11); a light-absorbing first layer formed in contact with the substrate(fig 23, 14); a second layer formed in contact with a face of the ûrst layer, on the side opposite to the substrate(fig 23, 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Goossen(U.S. Pat. No. 5,825,528).

Regarding claim 24, Ueda et al. does not discloses substrate is a substrate which does not transmit light. In fact Ueda et al. does not disclose if the substrate is light absorbing or transparent. However, Goossen discloses the the substrate is light absorbing(col 3, lines 54-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a light absorbing substrate as shown by Goossen, in the optical device of Ueda et al., since as shown by Goossen light absorbing substrate are commonly used in optical devices so as to stop light from passing through the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 6, 8-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 26 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art taken either singularity or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the

Art Unit: 2873

limitations of the independent claim, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in independent claim 26, with the allowable features being displaying a two dimensional image by irradiating a plurality of optical one-dimensionally or two-dimensionally with light. Therefore claim 26 is allowed.

Page 5

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2342. If the examiner can not be reached his supervisor, Georgia Epps, can be reached on (571) 272-2328.

Jam Dhompun

T.J.T.

1/24/05

TIMOTHY THOMPSON PRIMARY EXAMINER